arXiv:1203.3354v1 [math.FA] 15 Mar 2012

THE AMEMIYA-ANDO CONJECTURE FALLS

Adam Paszkiewicz

Abstract. In any infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, a sequence $P_n \dots P_1 x$ diverges in norm for some $x \in H$ and orthogonal projections $P_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_5\}$.

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 0. *In any infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, a sequence $P_n \dots P_1 x$ diverges in norm for some $x \in H$ and orthogonal projections $P_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_5\}$.*

In [1], a weak convergence of $P_n \dots P_1 x$ is proved for $P_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$ with any r "while strong convergence is still unsettled". The norm convergence is known for $r = 2$, for $r = 3$ or 4 the problem seems to be open. It is also known that for some projections Q_1, Q_2 on closed convex cones the divergence in norm of $(Q_1 Q_2)^n x$ can be obtained for any infinite dimensional H, and some $x \in H$ (see [2], [3], [4], [5]).

By capital letters $E, F, P, Q, \dots$ we shall always denote orthogonal projections in H. By small letters e, f, p, q we shall always denote some vectors in H, of norm 1; e' is always orthogonal to e ($f' \perp f$ and so on). Then $\hat{e} \cdot = \langle \cdot, e \rangle e$ is a one-dimensional projection and always $\hat{e}' \perp \hat{e}$, then $\hat{f} \leq \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$ means that $f \in \mathrm{lin}(e, e')$.

The proof of Theorem 0 is unexpectedly simple. The proof of the following lemma is the most complicated part of the construction.

Lemma 1. *For any one-dimensional projections $\hat{f}_0, \dots, \hat{f}_r \leq \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$, and for $\epsilon > 0$ there exist projections $P_0 \leq \dots \leq P_r$ and numbers $n(0) \leq \dots \leq n(s)$ such that for $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$*

$$||(EP_sE)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < \epsilon, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq r, \tag{1}$$

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, products of projections..
2010 AMS subject classification: 47H09.
Research supported by grant N N201 605840.

and one can require that $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$ *for* $1 \leq s \leq r$.

Proof. Let us denote by f'_s a vector (of norm 1) satisfying $\hat{f}_s + \hat{f}'_s = E$. For fixed mutually orthogonal vectors $p_0, \dots, p_r$ orthogonal to E, and for $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_r > 0$ (defined later), we denote by U_s a unitary operator

$$U_s f'_s = f'_s \cos\delta_s + p_s \sin\delta_s,$$

$$U_s p_s = -f'_s \sin\delta_s + p_s \cos\delta_s,$$

$$U_s x = x \quad \text{for} \quad x \perp f'_s, p_s.$$

Then for any projection $P \geq E$, orthogonal to p_s for some $0 \leq s \leq r$, and for $P_s = P - \hat{f}'_s$ we have $P_s E = \hat{f}_s$ and $U_s P U_s^* = \widehat{U_s f'_s} + P_s$ and thus

$$(EU_sPU_s^*E)^n = \hat{f}_s + (\hat{f}'_s \widehat{Uf'_s} \hat{f}'_s)^n = \hat{s}_s + \hat{f}'_s \cos^{2n}\delta_s \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1.$$

In particular for any $0 < \eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ there exists $n \geq 1$ satisfying

$$||(EU_sPU_s^*E)^n - \hat{f}_s|| < \eta \tag{2}$$

and $U_sPU_s^* \leq P + \hat{p}_s$ if only $P \geq E$, $P \perp \hat{p}_s$, $0 \leq s \leq r$. The required projections are given by formula

$$P_s = U_r \dots U_s(E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_{s-1})U_s^* \dots U_r^*, \qquad 0 \leq s \leq r.$$

In fact, we have $P_{s+1} \geq P_s$, $\dim(P_{s+1} - P_s) = 1$, which follows from relations $E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_s \geq U_s(E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_{s-1})U_s^*$, $\dim(E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_s - U_s(E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + p_{s-1})U_s^*) = 1$, for any $0 \leq \delta_s < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq s \leq r$.

The estimate (1) can be obtained for δ_s, $n(s)$ chosen as follows. The number $0 < \delta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ is taken arbitrarily and $n(0)$ is so large that, by (2),

$$||(EU_0EU_0^*E)^{n(0)} - \hat{f}_0|| < (1 - \frac{1}{2^1})\epsilon.$$

Let $\delta_t > 0$, $n(t) \geq 1$ be chosen for $0 \leq t \leq s-1$, and let

$$||(EU_{s-1} \dots U_t(E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_{t-1})U_t^* \dots U_{s-1}E)^{n(t)} - \hat{f}_t|| < (1 - \frac{1}{2^s})\epsilon, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s-1,$$

for a fixed $1 \leq s \leq r$. Then for sufficiently small $\delta_s > 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad & ||(EU_s \dots U_t(E + \hat{p}_0 + \cdots + \hat{p}_{t-1})U_t^* \dots U_s^* E)^{n(t)} - \hat{f}_t|| \\
& \qquad\qquad f < (1 - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}})\epsilon, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

but for such δ_s, there exists so large $n(s) \geq 1$ that, by (2),

$$||(EU_s(E + \hat{p}_0 + \cdots + \hat{p}_{s-1})U_s^* E)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < (1 - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}})\epsilon.$$

We have proved (3) for any $0 \leq t \leq s$ and for $1 \leq s \leq r$.

In particular, putting $s = r$ we have

$$||(EP_tE)^{n(t)} - \hat{f}_t|| < (1 - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}})\epsilon, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq r.$$

□

Lemma 2. *For any projections* $P_0 \leq \cdots \leq P_r$ $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$*,* $1 \leq s \leq r$*, and for* $\epsilon > 0$*, there exist* $Q \in \operatorname{Proj} H$ *and numbers* $m(0), \dots, m(r) \geq 1$ *satisfying*

$$(4) \qquad ||(P_rQP_r)^{m(s)} - P_s|| < \epsilon, \qquad 1 \leq s \leq r.$$

Proof. Let $P_s = P_0 + \hat{p}_1 + \cdots + \hat{p}_s$, and $P_0, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_r, \hat{p}'_1, \dots, \hat{p}'_r$ be mutually orthogonal. For some $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r > 0$, let $q_s = p_s \cos\delta_s + p'_s \sin\delta_s$ and let $Q = P_0 + \hat{q}_1 + \cdots + \hat{q}_r$. Now, to obtain (4), we can proceed as follows. We take $m(r) = 1$ and so small $\delta_r > 0$, that $||(\hat{p}_r\hat{q}_r\hat{p}_r)^{m(r)} - \hat{p}_r|| < \epsilon$. Then we proceed downward. Assuming that for some $1 < s \leq r$ and $m(s) > \cdots > m(r)$, $0 < \delta_s, \dots, < \delta_r$ we have

$$
(5) \qquad
\begin{aligned}
& ||(\hat{p}_s\hat{q}_s\hat{p}_s)^{m(t)} - \hat{p}_s|| < \epsilon, \qquad s \leq t \leq r, \\
& ||(\hat{p}_t\hat{q}_t\hat{p}_t)^{m(s)}|| < \epsilon, \qquad s+1 \leq t \leq r,
\end{aligned}
$$

it is possible to find such $m(s-1) > m(s)$ that $||(\hat{p}_t\hat{q}_t\hat{p}_t)^{m(s-1)}|| < \epsilon$ for $t = s$ (and thus for any $s \leq t \leq r$). Then there exists so small δ_{s-1}, $0 \leq \delta_{s-1} < \delta_s$, that

$$||(\hat{p}_{s-1}\hat{q}_{s-1}\hat{p}_{s-1})^{m(t)} - \hat{p}_{s-1}|| < \epsilon \qquad \text{for } \ t = s-1$$

(and thus for any $s-1 \leq t \leq r$).

Now (5) is proved for any $1 \leq s \leq r$, and this implies (4) if only $m(0) > m(1)$ satisfies $||(\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1\hat{p}_1)^{m(0)}|| < \epsilon$.

Remark. The assumption $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$ makes the proof of Lemma 2 more elementary, but is not essential.

Corollary 3. *For any one-dimensional projections* $\hat{f}_0, \dots \hat{f}_r \leq \hat{e}+\hat{e}'$ *and for* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exist projections* P, Q*, and numbers* $m(s), n(s) \geq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq r$ *satisfying*

$$||(E(PQP)^{m(s)}E)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < \epsilon,$$

for $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, the estimate (1) can be satisfied for some $P_0 \leq \cdots \leq P_r$, $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$, and for $\epsilon/2$ instead of ϵ. Then we have

$$||(E(P_rQP_r)^{m(s)}E)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < \epsilon, \quad 0 \leq s \leq r,$$

if only (4) is satisfied for sufficiently small $\epsilon_1 > 0$ instead of ϵ. Lemma 2 finishes the proof.

Lemma 4. *For any vectors* $e \perp e'$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$*, there exist projections* P, Q *and a monomial* $A(P,Q,E) = P_k \dots P_1$*, with* $P_l \in \{P, Q, E\}$, $1 \leq l \leq k$, $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$*, such that*

$$\langle A(P,Q,E)e, e' \rangle > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Proof. For $f_s = e \cos \frac{\pi s}{2r} + e' \sin \frac{\pi s}{2r}$, $0 \leq s \leq r$, an elementary calculation gives

$$\langle \hat{f}_r \dots \hat{f}_0 e, e' \rangle = (\cos \frac{\pi}{2r})^r > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{for some} \quad r \geq 1.$$

Thus Corollary 3 (with sufficiently small $\epsilon_1 > 0$ instead of ϵ) gives

$$\langle (E(PQP)^{m(r)}E)^{n(r)} \dots (E(PQP)^{m(0)}E)^{n(0)} e, e' \rangle \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

5. Proof of Theorem 0. Let us take infinitely dimensional projections $F_1, F_2, \dots$ satisfying, for some orthonormal $(e_i,\ i \geq 1)$,

$$\hat{e}_1 \leq F_1, \quad F_iF_{i+1} = \hat{e}_{i+1}, \qquad i \geq 1,$$

$$F_i \perp F_j \qquad \text{for} \quad |i-j| \geq 2$$

and denote additionally $e'_i = e_{i+1}$, $E_i = \hat{e}_i + \hat{e}'_i \leq F_i$, $i \geq 1$.

For fixed $0 < \epsilon_i < 1$, $\prod(1-\epsilon_i) > 0$, we use Lemma 4 in subspaces $H_i = F_i(H)$ (instead of H) obtaining projections $P_i, Q_i \leq F_i$ and monomials $A_i(P_i, Q_i, E_i)$ satisfying

$$\eta_i := \langle A_i(P_i, Q_i, E_i)e_i, e'_i \rangle > 1 - \epsilon_i, \quad i \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

Let $P=\sum P_{2k}$, $Q=\sum Q_{2k}$, $E=\hat{e}_1+\sum E_{2k}$, for $k\geq 1$, then $E=\sum \hat{e}_i$, $EF_{2k}=E_{2k}$ and for any monomial $A(P,Q,E)$ we have

$$A(P,Q,E)F_{2k}(H)\subset F_{2k}(H),$$

$$A(P,Q,E)F_{2k-1}=A(P,Q,E)(\hat{e}_{2k-1}+\hat{e}_{2k})=A(P,Q,E)\hat{e}_{2k-1}+A(P_{2k},Q_{2k},E_{2k})\hat{e}_{2k}.$$

In consequence

$$\begin{aligned}&x\in F_1\vee\cdots\vee F_{2k-1}(H),\quad \langle x,e_{2k}\rangle=\eta\\ &\text{imply}\quad A(P,Q,E)x=y+\eta A(P_{2k},Q_{2k},E_{2k})e_{2k}\\ &\text{for some}\quad y\in F_1\vee\cdots\vee F_{2k-2}(H),\end{aligned}$$

obviously $y=0$ for $k=1$.

Analogically, for $R=\sum P_{2k-1}$, $S=\sum Q_{2k-1}$, $E=\sum E_{2k-1}$, $k\geq 1$, (thus as before $E=\sum \hat{e}_i$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&x\in F_1\vee\cdots\vee F_{2k}(H),\quad \langle x,e_{2k+1}\rangle=\eta\\ &\text{imply}\quad A(R,S,E)x=y+\eta A(R_{2k+1},Q_{2k+1},E_{2k+1})e_{2k+1}\\ &\text{for some}\quad y\in F_1\vee\cdots\vee F_{2k-1}(H).\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$x_0=e_1,\quad x_1=A_1(R,S,E)x_0$$

and, by induction for $k\geq 1$,

$$x_{2k}=A_{2k}(P,Q,E)x_{2k-1},\quad x_{2k+1}=A_{2k+1}(R,S,E)x_{2k}.$$

Then (6) implies

$$x_1\in F_1(H),\qquad \langle x_1,e_2\rangle=\eta_1,$$

and for all $k\geq 1$

$$x_{2k-1}\in F_1\vee\cdots\vee F_{2k-1}(H),\qquad \langle x_{2k-1},e_{2k}\rangle=\eta_1\ldots\eta_{2k-1},$$

$$x_{2k}\in F_1\vee\cdots\vee F_{2k}(H),\qquad \langle x_{2k},e_{2k+1}\rangle=\eta_1\ldots\eta_{2k}.$$

As $\prod\eta_n>\prod(1-\epsilon_i)>0$, the sequence

$$A_{2k}(P,Q,E)A_{2k-1}(R,S,E)\ldots A_2(P,Q,E)A_1(R,S,E)e_1$$

diverges in norm. Thus $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_5\} = \{P, Q, R, S, E\}$ can be taken.

References

[1] I. Amemiya, T. Ando, *Convergence of random products of contractions in Hilbert space*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **26** (1965), 239-244.

[2] H.H. Bauschke, E. Mateošková, S. Reich, *Projections and proximal point methods: Convergence results and counterexamples*, Nonlinear Anal. **56** (2004), 715-738.

[3] H.S. Hundal, *An alternating projection that does not converge in norm*, Nonlinear Anal. **57** (2004), 35-61.

[4] E. Kopecká, *Spokes, mirrors and alternating projections*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 1759-1764.

[5] E. Matoušková, S. Reich, *The Hundal example revisited*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **4** (2003), 411-427.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Łódź University
Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland
e-mail: ktpis@math.uni.lodz.pl